File created 10/01/2016. This is not the final version of record. The following article was published in the *Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness (JVIB), 111*(3), 271-276. The final version of record can be found at <u>http://www.jvib.org</u>.

## **Reflections on Developing an Employment Mentoring Program**

# for Blind College Students

Jamie O'Mally

and

Anne Steverson

Mississippi State University

# Author Note

The contents of this report were developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, NIDILRR grant 90RT5011-01-00. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Health and Human Services and should not indicate endorsement by the Federal Government.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Anne Steverson, NRTC on Blindness and Low Vision, PO Box 6189, Mississippi State, MS, 39762. Telephone: 662-325-2555, FAX: 662-325-8989. Email: acarter@colled.msstate.edu.

### **Reflections on Developing an Employment Mentoring Program for Blind College Students**

In a competitive employment climate, college graduates with blindness and visual impairments (B/VI) face challenges securing work. Employment barriers among individuals with B/VI include: limited early work experience, negative employer attitudes, transportation issues, lack of exposure to successful role models, underdeveloped blindness skills, and low confidence in ability to perform work-related tasks (Coffey, Coufopoulos, & Kinghom, 2014; Crudden & McBroom, 1999; McDonnall & O'Mally, 2012; McDonnall, Zhou, & Crudden, 2013). Students with B/VI may also have unrealistic expectations for finding employment. We surveyed a nationally representative group of service providers and directors of state agencies (N =87), and more than one third of respondents (34%) believe that college students with B/VI are too optimistic about finding jobs after graduating (O'Mally, 2014).

Mentoring relationships can provide multiple benefits for students with B/VI as they prepare to enter the workforce. Mentorships provide opportunities for students to job shadow, receive expert advice, develop job-seeking skills, understand career-specific accommodations, expand social networks, access resources, and build confidence. Mentors serve as successful role models and may offer students a more realistic view of the impact blindness can have on jobseeking and navigating their field of interest.

Existing mentoring programs that serve students with B/VI and other disabilities include CareerConnect (AFB, 2016), the Do-It program at University of Washington (2016), and various interventions implemented by blindness consumer groups. These programs vary widely in structure and implementation. We designed, implemented, and evaluated a mentoring program specifically for college students who are legally blind and seeking employment after graduation. A national, longitudinal study was conducted to evaluate the impact of mentoring on employment outcomes using standardized procedures (O'Mally & Antonelli, 2016). Students were randomly assigned to work with a mentor for one year or receive traditional career resources. To standardize the study, we used specific eligibility criteria, a limited timeframe, and provided suggestions for activities and discussion topics.

However, the purpose of this article is not to report the study outcomes but rather to present reflections and resources used in designing a mentoring program for college students with B/VI. In developing this program, we learned information that may be valuable to program administrators, service providers, students, and mentors. This article presents demographics of participants, suggestions for recruitment and staffing, challenges in matching students with mentors, resources and orientation materials, and practical applications.

## Considering the Needs of the Population

Because this was an empirical study designed to compare two groups, participants (N = 77, 26 mentees, 26 mentors, and 25 comparison students) had to meet specific eligibility criteria, including legal blindness (O'Mally & Antonelli, 2016). Our nationally diverse sample, with representation from 30 states, consisted of more women than men among students (62.75%) and mentors (65.38%). We limited our sample of students to those under age 35 to examine the impact of mentoring on traditionally-aged college students who likely had little work experience. Although this criterion was important to increase internal validity in our study, we learned that many students over age 35 were interested in participating. Older students were discouraged by the age restriction and expressed strong interest in working with a mentor, explaining that their vision loss required them to reeducate in order to change careers. They described challenges using technology, transferring existing skills to new careers, and discussing their disability and

accommodation needs with potential employers. We informally provided them with resources, and noted that future programs could be tailored to address the specific needs of adults with B/VI returning to school.

Our study design required a narrow timeframe for data collection. In order to examine employment outcomes following graduation, we limited eligibility to students graduating within one year of the study. Many students were excluded because they were graduating too early, too late, or were not planning to seek employment immediately after graduation. Students were often inaccurate in estimating their graduation dates, with some graduating more than a year later than expected. It is critical for students to be able to provide potential employers with realistic estimates of when they will obtain their degree. Pairing students with mentors earlier in college could provide opportunities for career exploration, job-shadowing, and skill development needed to meet important milestones.

## Suggestions for Recruitment & Staffing

As researchers, we have limited direct contact with consumers making recruitment challenging. Our limited eligibility criteria made it difficult to obtain a large sample of students. Over 40 students that did not meet eligibility criteria reached out to us to participate. This number may be grossly underestimated given that we have no way to gauge the number of students who did not contact us knowing that they did not meet criteria. In a brief survey of service providers (N = 59), 42% said they referred students to participate, and 47% reported they never heard of the project (O'Mally, 2014). Despite exhaustive recruitment efforts, heavy caseloads may make it difficult for service providers to prioritize the promotion of external projects. More students would benefit from evidence-based programs with broader eligibility criteria implemented directly by service providers or consumer organizations.

Over 100 mentors volunteered, with only 26 selected for participation based on availability of a mentee match. Most mentors expressed a strong desire to mentor, noting that they would have appreciated the same opportunity when they were preparing for employment. Some indicated that they volunteered for other mentoring programs but were rarely, if ever, contacted. Mentors may be an untapped resource, and we recommend a structured design in which administrators match mentees with mentors, provide support, and develop guidelines for frequency of contact. Our intervention provided this type of structure and participants rated the program very highly.

Soliciting student participation was more difficult even though they reap the greatest benefits. Potential explanations for low student participation include: narrow eligibility criteria, over-commitment to school and other activities, or undervaluing the opportunity to work with a mentor. We asked mentors and our advisory council board what might explain the lack of student response. Some suggested that students may be overconfident about their own job-seeking skills and may be underestimating the challenges they may face in finding work after college. We recommend that service providers and parents strongly emphasize the value of working with a mentor who is blind and/or working in the students' field to encourage students to participate in mentoring programs.

We found many successful strategies for obtaining a nationally representative sample. First, we developed a nationwide registry for individuals interested in participating in blindness research. This registry currently has over 400 individuals with B/VI, and we actively share information with registrants about opportunities on behalf of other organizations. Second, we recruited through social media including Facebook, websites, listservs, radio, and newsletters. Third, we recruited heavily through organizations that have direct contact with consumers. We contacted VR counselors, presented at conferences, and contacted disability offices at universities and the Association on Higher Education and Disability (AHEAD). We promoted diversity by recruiting through groups such as National Association of Multicultural Rehabilitation Concerns (NAMRC), Consortia of Administrators for Native American Rehabilitation, Inc. (CANAR), National Organization for Albinism and Hypopigmentation (NOAH), and student support services at Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs).

Recruitment, matching, and retention were the most time consuming aspects of this program. Financial considerations will likely determine resources that can be allocated for administering a mentoring program. Throughout this intervention, we had one full-time staff member, and two additional part-time employees provided assistance as needed. Our staff had minimal involvement after orientation and distribution of materials. Although participants were satisfied with the level of staff involvement, some suggested that structured activities, group meetings, or ongoing training led by staff may be beneficial.

#### Challenges in Matching Pairs

We intended to pair students with mentors who were legally blind, currently employed in the student's field of interest, and living within one hour of the student to allow for monthly faceto-face contact. Although we had a tremendous response from potential mentors, it was difficult to match them with students on all three criteria. Students living in rural areas or pursuing unique careers were particularly difficult to match with local mentors. When a local mentor could not be found, students were paired with a distance mentor. We sought to match students with mentors who were currently employed, and when this was not possible, we paired them with recently retired mentors. Decisions for including retirees were made on a case by case basis, and it was preferable that the retiree was connected in their field and able to provide the student with access to the place of employment and networking opportunities.

After the study began, we asked students, mentors, and service providers to rank the importance of three factors in choosing a mentor. Highest rankings among all groups were for legal blindness, followed by similar profession, and geographic location had the lowest rankings (See Table 1). With greater accessibility of technology, the perception of the need for face-to-face contact may be lower than in the past. However, local contact offers important opportunities including job shadowing, networking, and practicing social skills. The sample in this study was not large enough for a statistical comparison between distance (15 pairs) and local (9 pairs) mentoring, an issue that warrants further research.

Although students, mentors, and service providers seem to agree that blindness is a critical characteristic in mentoring, it may be beneficial for students with B/VI to work with mentors who are sighted as well. This may expand students' networks, reduce negative stereotypes that employers may have about blindness, and allow students to practice self-advocacy and interacting with a predominantly sighted workforce. Additionally, it may be advantageous for students to work with a variety of mentors during college (e.g. a sighted mentor working in a similar field in close proximity and a distance mentor with B/VI in the field who can share experiences and provide disability-specific information).

#### Providing Resources & Orientation

We developed a 20-page mentoring manual to serve as a guide for mentors and mentees. The manual includes an overview of the project, responsibilities and expectations, guidance for developing successful mentoring relationships, and activities and topics for discussion including: accommodation planning, disclosure, blindness skills, and social skills. Resources and activities

7

focus on job shadowing, job-seeking skills, networking, and finding transportation. In a brief survey, service providers rated the areas that students with B/VI seeking employment needed most help with and most said blindness and independence skills (40%), social skills (33%), and job search skills (25%) (O'Mally, 2014). The manual addresses each of these areas and is publically available in electronic format (http://blind.msstate.edu/our-products/employmentresources/). It includes links to resources that may be useful for students, parents, mentors, service providers, employers, and organizations developing mentoring programs.

Prior to beginning the mentorship, mentors and mentees participated in an interactive orientation session grouped with other mentors or mentees. Orientation was conducted using small group conference calls, after finding that the use of a webinar promoted for accessibility involved a steep learning curve for participants making it inefficient for a one-time session. The structured one-hour session used the mentoring manual as a guide, included a PowerPoint presentation, and encouraged discussion. Mentors (100%) and mentees (95.8%) indicated that orientation was helpful in understanding program requirements and found the mentoring manual to be informative (83.4% and 86.3%, respectively).

## Practical Applications

This intervention demonstrates the desire among students and professionals with B/VI to work with others in pursuing employment. The number of interested mentors doubled the number of students, possibly indicating that mentors understand the value of mentoring more than students who may have unrealistic expectations about the workforce. The willingness of mentors to serve students on a long-term, volunteer basis is particularly noteworthy when considering the feasibility of future programs. Students also value the mentoring relationship, evidenced by an exceptionally high retention rate. All but two mentoring pairs completed the full study, with those who dropped out reporting match incompatibility. Participants completed an evaluation survey in which they provided quantitative and qualitative feedback about the program. Both mentees and mentors found the program valuable and expressed willingness to remain in contact after the study concluded. To increase student participation, we suggest broadening eligibility to include non-traditional age students and those earlier in their college career. We also recommend that service providers actively encourage students to consider the value of mentoring and assist in developing realistic views of securing employment.

Mentoring programs can be implemented with limited resources. Administrative supervision was minimal in this program, and the retention rate was still impressively high. Administrators matched pairs, provided orientation materials, and addressed issues that arose within mentoring pairs. Participants expressed high program satisfaction, and some suggested increased staff involvement to provide ongoing feedback. Several participants also suggested incorporating interactive communities (e.g. Facebook groups, Twitter, listservs) to allow participants to share resources, ideas, and strategies to improve mentoring relationships and employment outcomes. Some participants suggested including a few opportunities for mentors and mentees to meet locally in groups. Depending on resources and program design, mentees and mentors could plan to meet in groups at the city or state level or at regional conferences.

Developing effective mentoring programs for college students with B/VI is an ongoing process. Mentees in this program showed increases in self-efficacy, career adaptability, and job-hunting assertiveness (O'Mally & Antonelli, 2016). Encouraging dialogue, examining existing programs, and sharing successful strategies are critical in developing evidence-based mentoring interventions to improve employment outcomes for students with B/VI.

#### References

- American Foundation for the Blind (AFB). (2016). CareerConnect®: For job seekers who are blind or visually impaired. Retrieved June 23, 2016 from <u>http://www.afb.org/info/living-</u> with-vision-loss/for-job-seekers/12.
- Coffey, M., Coufopoulos, A., & Kinghom, K. (2014). Barriers to employment for visually impaired women. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, 7(3), 171-185.
- Crudden, A., & McBroom, L.W. (1999). Barriers to employment: A survey of employed persons who are visually impaired. *Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness*, *93*(6), 341-350.
- McDonnall, M. C., & O'Mally, J. (2012). Characteristics of early work experiences and their association with future employment. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *106*(3), 133-144.
- McDonnall, M. C., Zhou, L., & Crudden, A. (2013). Employer attitudes towards persons who are blind or visually impaired: Perspectives and recommendations from vocational rehabilitation personnel. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 79(3), 17-24.
- O'Mally, J. (2014). Mentoring and employment preparation for college students. *Proceedings for Report of the State of the Science Conference on Improving Employment Outcomes for Individuals who are Blind or Visually Impaired (pp. 29-33).* Bethesda, MD: Retrieved June 23, 2016 from <u>http://blind.msstate.edu/our-products/research-conference/</u>.
- O'Mally, J. & Antonelli, K. (2016). The effect of career mentoring on employment outcomes for college students who are legally blind. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *110*(5).

University of Washington. (2016). Do-It: Disabilities, opportunities, internetworking, and technology. Retrieved June 23, 2016 from <a href="http://www.washington.edu/doit/">http://www.washington.edu/doit/</a>.

| Most Important Factor               | Mentees | Mentors | Service Providers |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Legal Blindness                     | 47.8%   | 56.5%   | 42.0%             |
| Same Profession Student is Pursuing | 30.4%   | 39.1%   | 41.0%             |
| Close Geographic Location           | 26.1%   | 4.3%    | 17.0%             |

Table 1: Percentages of Respondents Ranking Most Important Factor for Mentor Matching